.!

3

4 5

6

7

8

l C

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

20

21

23

24 25 30 F. W. J. W. L. W. L.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff

VS.

Nadine J. Griffin
Accused, Belligerent Claimant

CASE NO. 05-CR-10175-WGY

VERIFIED NOTICE OF OBJECTION AND NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF "NOT GUILTY" PLEA ENTERED BY JUDICIAL OFFICIAL WILLIAM G. YOUNG: Nadine J. Griffin HEREBY ENTERS A PLEA IN BAR

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN: that Nadine J. Griffin, the accused Belligerent Claimant proceeding on her own behalf, formally objects to the entering a plea of "Not Guilty" by judicial official and CEO William G. Young in her behalf on September 27, 2005 and formally withdraws, rescinds and/or moves to strike such entry and hereby enters a **Plea in Bar** (see *United States v. Brodson*, C.A. Wis., 234 F.2d 97, 99). Employees of the United States Plaintiff, particularly Christopher Maietta, have failed to invoke the jurisdiction of this Court, leaving this Court without jurisdiction of the subject matter and Nadine J. Griffin's inability to enter a statutory plea of "Not Guilty" for the following reasons:

- That on or about July 13, 2005 Nadine J. Griffin was formally charged under cause number 05- CR-10175-WGY for alleged violations of 26 U.S.C. § 7206, two counts of filing a false returns for the tax years 1998 and 1999.
- 2. Nadine J. Griffin the Sentient Being physically appeared on behalf of the collective entity, ens legis, and idem sonans, NADINE J. GRIFFIN before judicial official and CEO William

20

21

22

23

24

25

G. Young on September 27, 2005 and was statutorily arraigned, and acknowledged that she could not enter a plea at that time. (see Docket Report with Electronic Clerk's Notes dated 09/27/2005 and Transcript on page 21, lines 12 to 18 Exhibit A)

- That the accused Nadine J. Griffin could not acknowledge receipt of the charging instrument or enter a plea of not guilty or any plea because the charging instrument failed to inform her of the nature and cause of the accusations levied against her in direct violation of the Sixth Amendment.
- That although Nadine J. Griffin explained to judicial official and CEO William G. Young that her inability to enter a plea to the jurisdiction of the court at that time due to the insufficiency of the charging instrument which fails to establish the elements of the alleged violation, William G. Young proceeded to enter a plea on Griffin's behalf over her objection.
- 5. Nadine J. Griffin in this instant case does not accept the entry of a plea of not guilty on her behalf, officially objects, withdraws, and rescinds such entry as premature, without jurisdiction a nullity and void ab initio due to the defective charging instrument and other jurisdictional issues that bars such an entry.
- 6. Nadine J. Griffin understands that there appears to be no specific remedy offered in the criminal rules for exercising the Right of withdrawing or rescinding a plea entered by a judicial official of the Court engaged in judicial activism practicing law from the bench of rendering a judicial determination by entering a plea over her objection and therefore officially withdraws the plea of not guilty entered on her behalf in the nature of Fed. R. Cr. P. Rule 11(d), and enters a plea in bar as proper before this Court.
- The United States plaintiff's employees, particularly Christopher Maietta and William G. Young have refused to inform Nadine J. Griffin of the nature and cause of the accusation to

VERIFIED NOTICE OF OBJECTION AND NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF NOT GUILTY PLEA: HEREBY ENTERS A PLEA IN BAR - 48 3 of 4

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Nadine J. Griffin Notary page 3 Certificate of Mailing page 4

TOARGARET F. CULVERWELL, Notary Pt. P. My Commission Excitor Technology 20, 2005

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I, Nadine J. Griffin, certify that February 2^{-1} , 2006, I served a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing VERIFIED NOTICE OF OBJECTION AND NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF NOT GUILTY PLEA ENTERED BY JUDICIAL OFFICIAL WILLIAM G. YOUNG: Nadine J. Griffin HEREBY ENTERS A PLEA IN BAR by certified mail addresses

to the following:

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

1-4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Christopher Maietta U.S. Dept. of Justice, Tax Division 601 "D" Street, NW, Room 7012

Washington, DC 20004 Certified Mail No 1004 1160 0007 4198 1067

William Smith, Majority Chief Counsel Preet Bharara, Minority Chief Counsel

US Committee on the Judiciary

Subcommittee on Administrative Oversight and the Courts

224 Dirksen Senate Office Building

Washington, D.C. 20510

Certified Mail No. 7004 1160 0007 4198 1050

Signature:

Nadine I Griffin

2.5

CASE NO. 05-CR-10175-WGY

Nadine J. Griffin,

MAG

United States District Court District of Massachusetts (Boston) CRIMINAL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:05-cr-10175-WGY-ALL

Case title: USA v. Griffin

Date Filed: 07/13/2005

Assigned to: Judge William G. Young

Defendant

Nadine J Griffin (1)

represented by Nadine J Griffin

13799 Park Blvd. North #244 Seminole, FL 33776-3402 PRO SE

James B. Krasnoo

Krasnoo Klehm LLP 23 Main Street Terrace Level Andover, MA 01810 978-475-9955 Fax: 978-474-9005 Email: james@krasnoolaw.com TERMINATED: 07/20/2005

LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Designation: Retained

Pending Counts

26;7206(1)-FILING FALSE INCOME TAX **RETURNS** (1-2)

Highest Offense Level (Opening)

Felony

Terminated Counts

Disposition

Disposition

10/06/2005	<u>28</u>	MOTION for Bill of Particulars Under the Fifth and Sixth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution as to Nadine J Griffin. c/s(Bell, Marie) (Entered: 10/07/2005)
09/30/2005	TO THE RESERVE TO THE STREET STREET	Judge William G. Young: ElectronicORDER entered denying <u>27</u> Motion to Strike as to Nadine J Griffin (1). cc/cl. (Bell, Marie) (Entered: 10/03/2005)
09/27/2005	, quantità di processo più paggi pi viva viva quantità di processo	Motions terminated as to Nadine J Griffin: <u>25</u> MOTION to Dismiss on Speedy Trial filed by Nadine J Griffin,. (Smith, Bonnie) (Entered: 10/05/2005)
09/27/2005	<u>27</u>	MOTION to Strike 23 Opposition to Defendant's Response Date 9/6/05. as to Nadine J Griffin. c/s.(Bell, Marie) (Entered: 09/28/2005)
09/27/2005	<u>26</u>	DECLARATION in Support by Nadine J Griffin re 25 MOTION to Dismiss For Violation of the Sixth Amendment and Speedy Trial. c/s. (Bell, Marie) (Entered: 09/28/2005)
09/27/2005	<u>25</u>	MOTION to Dismiss For Violation of the Sixth Amendment to the Constitution and Speedy Trial as to Nadine J Griffin. c/s.(Bell, Marie) (Entered: 09/28/2005)
09/27/2005	<u>24</u>	Judge William G. Young: ElectronicORDER entered. SCHEDULING ORDER as to Nadine J Griffin Jury Trial set for 5/1/2006 09:00 AM in Courtroom 18 before Chief Judge William G. Young. Final Pretrial Conference set for 4/3/2006 02:00 PM in Courtroom 18 before Chief Judge William G. Young. (Smith, Bonnie) (Entered: 09/28/2005)
09/27/2005	->	ElectronicClerk's Notes for proceedings held before Judge William G. Young: Defendant is present and is representing herself. The Court advises the defendant of the complexities of defending herself and inquires as to whether the defendant wants to continue representing herself. Defendant waives her right to counsel. Trial set for May 1, 2006. The Court Orders the time between 9/27/05 and 5/1/06 excluded. Defendant files a Motion to Dismiss the indictment. The Court Denies the motion as it applies to the Speedy Trial Act and takes Under Advisement the remainder of the motion. The Government has 14 days to file a response. Due to the Defendant objecting to a Magistrate Judge arraigning her, the Court arraigns the defendant and the Court enters a plea of Not Guilty for the defendant who refuses to answer. Scheduling Conference as to Nadine J Griffin held on 9/27/2005 Scheduling Order to issue. (Court Reporter Womack.) (Smith, Bonnie) (Entered: 09/28/2005)
09/20/2005	23	United States' Opposition as to Nadine J Griffinre: 21 Response (Dated September 6, 2005. c/s. (Bell, Marie) (Entered: 09/21/2005)
09/12/2005	<u>22</u> :	NOTICE OF HEARING as to Nadine J Griffin Scheduling Conference and Faretta hearing set for 9/27/2005 02:00 PM in Courtroom 18 before Chief Judge William G. Young. (Smith, Bonnie) (Entered: 09/12/2005)
09/08/2005		Judge William G. Young: Electronic ORDER entered denying <u>6</u> Motion to Quash Indictment/Information as to Nadine J Griffin (1); denying <u>15</u> Motion to Vacate as to Nadine J Griffin (1). cc/cl. (Bell, Marie) (Entered: 09/08/2005)
09/06/2005	21	Response as to Nadine J Griffin to 20 Response to Motions filed by USA, and Objection to a Faretta Hearing Prior to Jurisdiction being Established. c/s. (Bell, Marie) (Entered: 09/08/2005)

. 1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
2	Criminal No.
3	05-10175-WGY
4	
5	*
6	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA * *
7	v. * SCHEDULING CONFERENCE * and ARRAIGNMENT
. 8	NADINE J. GRIFFIN * *
9	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
10	
11	
12	BEFORE: The Honorable William G. Young,
13	District Judge
14	
15	
16	APPEARANCES:
17	CHRISTOPHER J. MAIETTA, Trial Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice, Tax Division,
18	601 D Street, NW, Room 7012, Washington, D.C.
19	20004, on behalf of the Government
	NADINE J. GRIFFIN, Pro Se, 13799 Park
20	Boulevard North, #244, Seminole, Florida 33776-3402
21	
22	
23	
24	1 Courthouse Way Boston, Massachusetts
25	September 27, 2005
	September 27, 2005

·i THE COURT: Do you want the clerk to read the indictment in open court? 2 3 MS. GRIFFIN: No, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: All right, waives the reading of the indictment. Ms. Smith is now going to arraign you on this 5 6 charge. THE CLERK: Nadine J. Griffin, you have been 7 charged in a one count indictment with violating 26 U.S.C., 8 9 Section 7206(1), filing false -- excuse me, more than one count -- two count indictment filing false income tax 10 11 returns. How do you plead to Counts 1 and 2, guilty or not 12 13 quilty? 14 MS. GRIFFIN: I cannot enter a plea at this time until the jurisdiction has been ceded in this Court. 15 THE COURT: All right. I enter a plea of not **1**6 guilty and we'll entertain the jurisdiction question on the 17 motion challenging the jurisdiction. 18 19 All right, I think -- now, I didn't really mean to 20 cut you off, but I do have other things I have to do. Is 21 there anything else you wanted to say, Ms. Griffin? 22 MS. GRIFFIN: I just wanted to say what Mr. Maietta 23 had said, Rule of Criminal Procedure 10 provides in pertinent part, true, that an arraignment must be conducted 24 in open court and must consist of reading the indictment. 25